

showed pronounced tumour regression, causing complete and sustained eradication of the tumour in 3/7 mice. Median survival in the combination group was 45 days after start of treatment, compared to 9 days for untreated mice, 11 days for mice receiving immunotherapy and 17.5 days for mice in the radiation group.

Conclusions: These findings highlight that integration of immunotherapies with standard cancer therapies such as radiation creates highly synergistic anti-tumour effects, that may have the potential to enable long-term survival in cancer patients and ultimately to open a therapeutic avenue to cancer cure.

1254

POSTER

DNA Vaccine Expressing Alpha-fetoprotein With the Degradation Signal From Ornithine Decarboxylase Provides Notable Protective Immunity Against Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Mice

A. Morozov¹, V. Morozov², T. Astakhova³, A. Timofeev¹, V. Karpov⁴.

¹Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology RAS, CSF, Moscow, Russian Federation; ²Robert-Koch Institute, p13, Berlin, Germany; ³N.K. Koltsov Institute of Developmental Biology RAS, Biochemistry, Moscow; ⁴Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology RAS, CSF, Moscow, Russian Federation

Background: Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) is a marker of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). DNA vaccines against AFP were shown to generate strong immune response. Previously we demonstrated that DNA vaccine bearing HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) gene and mouse ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) degradation signal at the 3'-end induced a strong Th1 immune response against RT HIV-1 in mice. We proposed that the DNA vaccine bearing AFP which is directed for degradation in proteasome would induce strong CD8+CTL response against tumour cells expressing AFP and might be efficient in preventing HCC.

Materials and Methods: Vectors expressing the following proteins were designed: murine AFP (mAFP), mAFP lacking exportation signal (pΔAFP), mAFP+ODC degradation signal (pAFP+ODCsignal), mAFP+ODC degradation signal but without exportation signal (pΔAFP+ODCsignal). After transfection of 293T cells the protein expression was examined by SDS- and Native PAGE and Western blot. The efficacy of proteasomal degradation was evaluated by cycloheximide chase, proteasome inhibition assay and immunofluorescence. Proteins in transfected cells were also examined by confocal microscopy using anti-AFP and anti-calnexin antibodies. Tumours in C57BL mice were induced by subcutaneous admittance of 2×10^5 hepatoma cells from Hepa 1-6 cells line. Vaccine trials were performed on mice. In therapeutic trial [48 animals: 6 groups (8 mice in each)] 14 days after tumour cell challenge mice were vaccinated intramuscularly with 100 µg of plasmid. In "prevention" trial (18 mice: 3 groups) mice were vaccinated four times (50 µg, 2 week intervals) and 2 weeks after the last vaccination were challenged with tumour cells.

Results: All plasmids were well expressed in transfected cells, but only the ΔAFP+ODCsignal protein degraded fast in the proteasome (half life 1.5–2h). pΔAFP+ODCsignal was further used in animal trial. No significant protection was demonstrated in the therapeutic experiment. However, preventive vaccination trial yielded 300% reduction in mean tumour volume compared to the control group and 500% reduction compared to the non-immunized group on day 65 after tumour cell challenge.

Conclusions: The ΔAFP+ODCsignal is fast degrading protein that provokes immune response resulting in retardation of tumour growth in vaccinated animals. We considered pΔAFP+ODCsignal a promising candidate vaccine against HCC.

1255

POSTER

Outcomes in Patients 70 Years and Older Enrolled in Phase I Studies at Vall d'Hebron University Hospital

R. Morales Barrera¹, O. Castillo-Fernández², I. Braña¹, R. Dienstmann¹, J. Cortes¹, E. Felip¹, J.M. Del Campo¹, J. Carles¹, J. Rodon¹, J. Tabernero¹. ¹Vall d' Hebron University Hospital, Medical Oncology, Barcelona, Spain; ²National Oncology Institute, Medical Oncology, Panama, Panama

Background: Patients aged ≥70 years are generally underrepresented in cancer clinical trials and little is known about outcomes in this population in phase I trials.

Methods: Data were collected from 246 eligible patients treated in Phase I trials with multiple agents between 2005 and 2007. We compared results between two subgroups: pts <70 years (n=97) and pts ≥70 years (n=49) using Fisher, Mann-Whitney U, Kaplan Meier and log rank tests.

Results: Median age was 61.7 years. 20% patients were ≥70 years. There were no differences in baseline and treatments characteristics between both groups (gender, ECOG PS, previous treatment lines, metastatic sites, Royal Marsden Hospital Prognostic Score Index, time to diagnosis of

advanced disease and phase I trial enrolment, treatment duration, type of trial – single agent or combination). Toxicity was the main reason for discontinuation of treatment in 6% of patients ≥70 years versus 8.6% the younger patients (p=0.40). Partial response/stable disease as best response was 47% in <70 years and 51% in ≥70 years (p=0.39). Median survival in elderly patients was 34.9 weeks (CI 14.3–53.4) and in younger patients was 40.4 weeks (CI 31.0–49.7) log rank test=0.13. Analysis of the elderly patient cohort found that those that had received more than three previous lines of therapy (HR 2.0, 95% CI 1.11–3.74), had lung metastasis (HR 1.89 95% CI 1.03–3.48), and high white blood cell count ($\geq 10,500/\text{mm}^3$) (HR 3.7 95% CI 1.36–10.15) were associated with worse outcome.

Conclusion: Elderly patients suitable for Phase I studies have similar outcomes as compared to younger patients. Age by itself should not be an absolute contraindication to enrol patients in Phase I trials.

1256

POSTER

Evaluation of Enrollment in Oncology Phase I Clinical Trials

A.J. van der Biessen¹, M.A. Cranendonk¹, B. van der Holt², J. Verweij¹, M.J.A. de Jonge¹, R.H.J. Mathijssen¹. ¹Erasmus MC University Hospital, Medical Oncology, Rotterdam; ²Erasmus MC University Hospital, Trials and Statistics, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Background: Trial participation of cancer patients (pts) lacking standard treatment options is crucial for the development of new anti-cancer drugs. The main reasons to participate are hope for remission or even cure. The aim of this study was to increase insights into motives and other variables influencing pts to participate in phase I oncology trials.

Methods: Over 2 years, all pts with advanced solid tumours, referred to our outpatient clinic to be informed about phase I trials, were included. Pts were seen by a staff-physician or nurse practitioner during a 40-min intake and if applicable, they received written information about a phase I study. During (a) successive visit(s), patient and physician decided if he/she was or was not willing and able to participate. In our evaluation, we included the following variables: ways of referral, distance from residence to hospital, tumour type, time since primary diagnosis, number of prior treatments (both regular and experimental), WHO performance status at visits, age, gender, and marital status. In addition, specific reasons for refusing informed consent were scored. Data were first compared between patients who did, or did not, give informed consent to participate in a trial. Next, the same analyses were performed, restricted to patients who gave informed consent, and data were compared between patients who actually did or did not start phase I treatment.

Results: Between Oct 2008 and Dec 2010, a total of 366 pts (189 men, 177 women) were evaluated, with a median age of 59 years (range, 18–78), and median WHO performance of 1. Most tumours originated from the GI tract (45%). Of all pts 71% was treated before, with a median of 2 treatment lines (range, 1–7). Informed consent was not signed by 146 pts (40%) of which 54% refused mostly because of disappointing expectations of the treatment, and fear for side effects/condition. Patients already treated with multiple lines gave informed consent more often than others (P<0.001). After signing informed consent another 10% was not eligible according to protocol criteria and 7% due to clinical deterioration. Finally 43% participated in a phase I trial.

Conclusion: Despite specific referral to our hospital, more than half of all pts that were informed about a phase I trial finally did not participate. Reasons for both participating and not participating were quite diverse. Possibly, enrollment can be increased by referral to a dedicated and experienced trial-team.

1257

POSTER

Phase I Safety and Tolerability Study of Olaparib (AZD2281) in Combination With Liposomal Doxorubicin (PLD) in Patients With Advanced Metastatic Solid Tumours

C. Sessa¹, R. von Moos², T. Digena¹, G. Del Conte³, L. Viganò³, E. Gallerani¹, R. Cathomas², A. Fasolo³, D. Schneider⁴, L. Gianni³. ¹Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Bellinzona; ²Kantonsspital Graubünden, Chur, Switzerland; ³San Raffaele Institute, Department of Medical Oncology, Milan, Italy; ⁴AstraZeneca AG, Zug, Switzerland

Background: Olaparib (O) is an orally active PARP inhibitor shown to be an active and well-tolerated monotherapy in patients with BRCA-mutated ovarian and breast cancer.

Methods: Phase I, open-label, ascending (3+3 design) dose cohort study evaluating the safety and tolerability of O combined with PLD (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00819221). Patients (Pts) with advanced metastatic solid tumours received oral O bid in combination with PLD (40 mg/m² IV every 28 days). O 50 mg (for 7 days) was assessed followed by parallel